

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 5 :  B29C 33/62, B29D 11/00	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 93/04834  (43) International Publication Date: 18 March 1993 (18.03.93)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US92/07459  (22) International Filing Date: 1 September 1992 (01.09.92)		(74) Agents: KRASNOW, David, M. et al.; Bausch & Lomb Incorporated, One Lincoln First Square, P.O. Box 54, Rochester, NY 14601-0054 (US).
(30) Priority data: 07/755,201 5 September 1991 (05.09.91) US		(81) Designated States: CA, JP, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, SE).
(71) Applicant: BAUSCH & LOMB INCORPORATED [US/US]; Patent Law Department, One Lincoln First Square, P.O. Box 54, Rochester, NY 14601-0054 (US).  (72) Inventors: DRUSKIS, Robert, J. ; 541 Vosburg Road, Webster, NY 14580 (US). HAHN, Dennis ; 160 Coburg Street, Rochester, NY 14612 (US). MILNE, Rose, Ann ; 2001 Penfield Road, Penfield, NY 14526 (US).		<b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>

(54) Title: SURFACTANTS USED AS CONTACT LENS PROCESSING AIDS

(57) Abstract

An improved method for manufacturing hydrophilic polymeric devices wherein surfactants are added to the hydration bath to assist in the mold release and processing of hydrophilic polymeric devices, especially hydrophilic contact lenses.

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia
AU	Australia	FR	France	MR	Mauritania
BB	Barbados	GA	Gabon	MW	Malawi
BE	Belgium	GB	United Kingdom	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	GN	Guinea	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	GR	Greece	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	HU	Hungary	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	IE	Ireland	PT	Portugal
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	RO	Romania
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	RU	Russian Federation
CG	Congo	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CH	Switzerland	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovak Republic
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	SU	Soviet Union
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
DE	Germany	MG	Madagascar	TG	Togo
DK	Denmark	ML	Mali	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain			US	United States of America

## SURFACTANTS USED AS CONTACT LENS PROCESSING AIDS

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to the use of surfactants in a hydration bath to assist in the processing of hydrophilic polymeric devices, especially hydrophilic contact lenses.

BACKGROUND

Soft contact lenses are produced by a number of methods including static cast molding, spincasting, lathing and combinations of casting and lathing. A critical step in the production of lenses using molds is releasing the lens from the mold without damaging the lens. One method of lens release is to hydrate the lens. The lens-in-mold assembly is placed in a hydration tank filled with water. The water is usually heated.

Often hydration alone does not release the lenses from the molds. The lenses must then be gently removed from molds by hand. Such hand-assisted lens removal increases the likelihood of lens damage. These damaged lenses often fail the subsequent quality inspections due to tearing, nicks, or other surface defects which occur as the lenses are released from the molds. One surface defect which is prevalent and which is commonly

- 2 -

measured is known as the POM (piece of material) defect. This defect occurs as a result of excess polymer debris adhering to the lens. In essence, the POM defect causes an irregular surface on the lens due to an additional piece of material adhering to the lens.

A method of improving the release rate and decreasing the incidence of POM defect by facilitating the release of spincast and castmolded lenses which, in turn, would significantly increase the useable lens yield for such lenses, is not known. This invention addresses the problem of undesirably low cosmetic yields of contact lenses during hydration release.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to the discovery that the addition of surfactants into the aqueous hydration bath at specified concentrations, facilitates the release of lenses from molds. The present invention relates to an improved method for releasing hydrophilic polymeric devices from plastic molds in aqueous hydration baths the improvement of which comprises the addition of a surfactant to an aqueous bath in an amount sufficient to achieve a

surfactant concentration of from 0.1 wt. % to 10 wt. %. The present invention further reduces or eliminates the incidence of the POM defect on contact lenses. The resulting new aqueous hydration bath compositions comprising the surfactants, result in a surprisingly superior method of lens release.

It was discovered that the lens yield was drastically improved when surfactants were incorporated in the hydration bath. This increased yield is due to both improved lens release and to reduction of POM defects. The enhanced level of lens release from the molds obviates the need to manually assist lens removal from the mold upon hydration. Eliminating the need for manual assistance eliminates lens damage which commonly occurs during manual lens removal. The cosmetic yield, or the number of acceptable lenses without nicks, tears, POM or other cosmetic defects therefore improved over the usual yield.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The hydration bath of the present invention comprises an aqueous solution of at least one surfactant. Suitable surfactants include any material which reduces the surface tension of water.

The effective surfactants are those which may be incorporated into the aqueous hydration bath.

Preferred surfactants are polymeric materials that have hydrophobic and hydrophilic portions. Such polymeric surfactants include polyoxyethylene lauryl ethers, polyoxyethylene nonylphenyl ethers, polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleates, polyoxyethylene sorbitan monolaurates, polyoxyethylene sorbitan monopalmitates, polyoxyethylene stearyl ethers, and their polyoxypropylene analogs. Other surfactants found to be effective are the poloxamines, dioctyl sodium sulfo-succinate, and polyvinyl alcohol.

The mold materials used for the spincasting or static cast molding may be one piece or more. If two part molds are used, each mold part may be made of a different composition with the molded polymeric device preferentially adhering to one of the mold parts. The mold parts may be made from any plastic conventionally used to mold hydrophilic polymeric devices. Preferred plastic materials are polyethylene, polypropylene, polystyrene, polyvinyl chloride, copolymers thereof, nitrile resins, and with polyvinyl chloride being the most preferred mold material to which the molded hydrophilic polymeric device adheses.

The contemplated hydrophilic polymeric material used in the mold to make the hydrophilic polymeric devices are any hydrogels, silicone-containing hydrogels, urethane prepolymers, ethylenically terminated polysiloxane prepolymers and other hydrophilic polymers some of which are the subject of commonly assigned U.S. Patent No. 5,034,461, issued July 23, 1991.

The optimal concentrations of the surfactants used depend upon the temperature of the hydration bath and the amount of time that the lens-in-mold piece is allowed to be exposed to the hydration bath. Use of various surfactants at elevated temperatures, generally those above 98 degrees C have experimentally been observed to cloud the hydration bath which makes retrieval of the released lenses from the hydration bath more complicated.

The contemplated concentration of surfactants in the aqueous hydration baths ranges from about 0.1 wt % to about 10 wt %, with the preferred concentration ranging from about 0.25 wt % to about 10.0 wt %, and with a 0.5 wt % concentration being most preferred when the bath temperature is 40 degrees C. The contemplated hydration bath temperatures range from about 30 degrees C. to about 100 degrees C., with the preferred temperature

ranging from about 35 degrees C. to about 80 degrees C., and with 40 degrees C. being the most preferred operating temperature.

The surfactant used in the present invention may be selected from the group of polyoxyethylene lauryl ethers, polyoxyethylene nonylphenyl ethers, polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleates, polyoxyethylene sorbitan monolaurates, polyoxyethylene sorbitan palmitates, polyoxyethylene stearyl ethers, and their polyoxypropylene analogs, dioctyl sodium sulfosuccinate and polyvinyl alcohol, with polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate being most preferred.

It is contemplated that the mold releasing hydration bath of the present invention will assist in the release of cast hydrophilic polymers from molds made from polyethylene, polypropylene and polyvinyl chloride, copolymers thereof, nitrile resins such as Barex (BP Chemicals) which are described in U.S. Patent No. 3,426,102. Other resins as are known in the field may also be used as the mold material, such as the nitriles and nitrile resins some of which are the subject of co-pending and commonly assigned U.S. Serial No. 618,448 filed November 27, 1990. The hydrophilic polymeric device being released from the mold may be any device which

can be static cast molded or spin cast molded, but is preferably a contact lens.

While this invention has been described with particular reference to contact lenses, the method of this invention is useful in forming a whole variety of shaped articles used in biomedical applications. Such articles include dialyzer diaphragms, artificial kidneys and other implants (see U.S. Patent Nos. 2,976,576 and 3,220,960), therapeutic bandages (U.S. Patent No. 3,428,043), surgical devices such as heart valves, vessel substitutes, interuterine devices, membranes and other films, catheters, mouth guards, and denture liners (U.S. Patent Nos. 3,520,949 and 3,618,231), and ophthalmic prostheses (U.S. Patent No. 3,679,504). Particularly preferred shaped articles for the purposes of this invention are contact lenses and preforms wherein one surface of the lens is formed in the molding process.

The following examples serve only to further illustrate aspects of the present invention and should not be construed as limiting the invention.

EXAMPLES

Surfactant concentration, bath temperature and hydration time were the factors studied. Number of lenses displaying POM when viewed through an optical comparator at 10x magnification, and total cosmetic yield were the measured responses. Cosmetic yield represents those lenses observed to be free from nicks, tears and POM at said 10x magnification. All listed Examples used cast-molded combinations. The lens material used was uniformly a HEMA (hydroxyethylmethacrylate) based contact lens material. The molds were made from polyvinyl chloride.

EXAMPLE 1Pure Aqueous Control Bath - No Surfactant

Twenty lens-in-mold assemblies were added to a 7 liter control hydration bath at 80 degrees C. with no surfactant present. The lenses were removed from the bath and inspected for POM defect. The results showed that 33% of the lenses had POM defect. Total cosmetic yields were not measured for this example.

EXAMPLE 2Polyoxyethylene sorbitan 20 monooleate - 10 wt%

Sixty lens-in-mold assemblies were added to a 7 liter hydration bath containing a 10 wt % concentration of poloxyethylene sorbitan 20 monooleate (Tween 80) (Ruger Chemical Co.) at 80 degrees C. An equal number of lens-in-mold assemblies were added to a completely aqueous control hydration bath under similar temperatures for comparison. The results showed that 7 % of the lenses in the bath with surfactant displayed the POM defect, while 52 % of the lenses in the pure aqueous hydration control bath had the POM defect. Total cosmetic yields were not measured for this example.

EXAMPLE 3Polyoxyethylene sorbitan 20 monooleate

Increasing concentrations of poloxyethylene sorbitan 20 monooleate were added to a 7 liter aqueous hydration bath. Twenty lens-in-mold assemblies were added to the hydration bath with a 1 wt % concentration of poloxyethylene sorbitan 20 monooleate. The bath was maintained at 40 degrees C. for 6 minutes. The cosmetic yield of the lenses was 60%, or greater than the cosmetic yield of the lens released upon hydration without surfactant.

- 10 -

No POM defect was observed. The further results of the 9 experimental runs are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1

<u>Exp. #</u> <u>(Min.)</u>	<u>Conc. (%)</u>	<u>Temp. (C.)</u>	<u>Time</u>
1	1	40	6
2	1	60	10
3	1	80	14
4	5	40	10
5	5	60	14
6	5	80	6
7	10	40	14
8	10	60	6
9	10	80	10

Table 2

<u>Exp. #</u>	<u>Number of Lenses with POM</u>	<u>Cosm. Yield (%)</u>
1	0	60
2	0	55
3	0	70
4	0	60
5	0	45
6	0	75
7	1	55
8	0	55
9	0	55

EXAMPLE 4Polyvinyl alcohol

Increasing concentrations of polyvinyl alcohol were added to a 7 liter hydration bath. Twenty lens-in-mold assemblies were added to a hydration bath maintained at 40 degrees C. for 6 minutes. The

- 11 -

released lenses displayed a 95% acceptable POM rat , meaning that only 1 lens out of twenty had a piece of material deposition. The cosmetic yield of the lenses was 50%, or greater than the ordinary cosmetic yield of released cast lenses hydrated without surfactant. The further results of the 9 experimental runs are shown in Tables 3 and 4.

Table 3

<u>Exp. #</u> <u>(Min.)</u>	<u>Conc. (%)</u>	<u>Temp. (C.)</u>	<u>Time</u>
1	1	40	6
2	1	60	10
3	1	80	14
4	5	40	10
5	5	60	14
6	5	80	6
7	10	40	14
8	10	60	6
9	10	80	10

Table 4

<u>Exp. #</u>	<u>Number of Lenses with POM</u>	<u>Cosm. Yield (%)</u>
1	1	50
2	1	70
3	0	55
4	0	80
5	3	50
6	0	65
7	0	80
8	0	55
9	1	80

EXAMPLE 5Polyvinyl alcohol

Eighty-six lens-in-mold assemblies were hydrated in a 0.1 wt % polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) in buffered saline solution at 80 degrees C. An equal number of lens-in-mold assemblies were hydrated under the same conditions in a pure aqueous control hydration bath for comparison. The results showed that 62% of the lenses in the hydration bath with surfactant had the POM defect. Thirty-four percent (34%) of the lenses hydrated in the control bath had the POM defect. The low concentration of PVA coupled with a saline solution did not produce satisfactory results.

EXAMPLE 6Polyoxyethylene sorbitan 20 monooleate

The results of experiments 4 and 5 led to the conclusion that a smaller surfactant concentration should be evaluated. Once again, increasing concentrations of polyoxyethylene sorbitan 20 monooleate were added to a 7 liter hydration bath. Thirty lens-in-mold assemblies were added to the hydration bath with a 0.5% concentration of polyoxyethylene sorbitan 20 monooleate. The bath

was maintained at 40 degrees C. for 10 minutes. The released lenses displayed a 90% acceptable POM rate, meaning that no significant piece of material deposition had occurred. The cosmetic yield of the lenses was 66.7%, or greater than the ordinary cosmetic yield of hydrated cast lenses released without surfactant. The first three runs of this experiment were conducted without any surfactant present. The further results of the 9 experimental runs are shown in Tables 5 and 6.

Table 5

<u>Exp. #</u> <u>(Min.)</u>	<u>Conc. (%)</u>	<u>Temp. (C.)</u>	<u>Time</u>
1	0	40	6
2	0	60	10
3	0	80	14
4	0.5	40	10
5	0.5	60	14
6	0.5	80	6
7	1.0	40	14
8	1.0	60	6
9	1.0	80	10

- 14 -

Table 6

Exp. #	Number of Lenses with POM	Cosm. Yield(%)
1	0	76.7
2	10	53.3
3	18	26.7
4	3	66.7
5	8	70
6	2	63.3
7	8	63.3
8	3	66.7
9	1	83.3

Many other modifications and variations of the present invention are possible and will be readily apparent to the skilled practitioner in the field in light of the teachings herein. It is therefore understood that, within the scope of the claims, the present invention can be practiced other than as herein specifically prescribed.

- 15 -

We claim:

1. An improved method for releasing hydrophilic polymeric devices from plastic molds in aqueous hydration baths the improvement of which comprises the addition of a surfactant to an aqueous bath in an amount sufficient to achieve a surfactant concentration of from 0.1 wt. % to 10 wt. %.
2. The method of Claim 1 wherein said surfactant is selected from the group consisting of polyoxyethylene lauryl ethers, polyoxyethylene nonylphenyl ethers, polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleates, polyoxyethylene sorbitan monolaurates, polyoxyethylene sorbitan monopalmitates, polyoxyethylene stearyl ethers, and their polyoxypropylene analogs.
3. The method of Claim 1 wherein said surfactant is dioctyl sodium sulfo-succinate.
4. The method of Claim 1 wherein said surfactant is polyvinyl alcohol.

5. The method of Claim 1 wherein the temperature of said bath ranges from 30 degrees C. to 100 degrees C.

6. The method of Claim 1 wherein the temperature of said bath ranges from 35 degrees C. to 80 degrees C.

7. The method of Claim 1 wherein said hydrophilic polymeric devices are contact lenses.

8. The method of Claim 1 wherein said bath comprises from 0.25 wt % to 10 wt % concentration of surfactant.

9. The method of Claim 1 wherein said bath comprises a 0.5 wt % concentration of surfactant.

10. The method of Claim 1 wherein said bath comprises a 0.5 wt % concentration of polyoxyethylene sorbitan 20 monooleate.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/US 92/07459

## I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER (if several classification symbols apply, indicate all)<sup>6</sup>

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC  
**IPC5: B 29 C 33/62, B 29 D 11/00**

## II. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum Documentation Searched<sup>7</sup>

Classification System	Classification Symbols
IPC5	B 29 C; B 29 D

Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation  
 to the Extent that such Documents are Included in Fields Searched<sup>8</sup>

## III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT<sup>9</sup>

Category <sup>10</sup>	Citation of Document, <sup>11</sup> with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages <sup>12</sup>	Relevant to Claim No. <sup>13</sup>
A	US, A, 4534916 (WICHTERLE) 13 August 1985, see column 1, line 1 - column 2, line 30; column 3, line 53 - line 63; column 5, line 4 - line 24; column 6, line 36 - line 49; abstract; claims 1-9  --	1-10
A	US, A, 4042652 (FENEBERG) 16 August 1977, see column 1, line 58 - column 2, line 17; column 5, line 8 - line 12; column 5, line 65 - column 6, line 4; abstract  --	1-10
A	US, A, 4983332 (HAHN ET AL.) 8 January 1991, see column 1, line 33 - line 38; column 2, line 9 - line 56; abstract  --	1-10

### \* Special categories of cited documents:<sup>10</sup>

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step

"Y" document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

## IV. CERTIFICATION

Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search      Date of Mailing of this International Search Report

14th December 1992

05.01.93

International Searching Authority

Signature of Authorized Officer

EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE

Kristina Sköld

III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		(CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET)
Category	Citation of Document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to Claim No
P,A	EP, A2, 0453231 (KINDT-LARSEN, TURE) 23 October 1991, see column 2, line 24 - line 37; column 4, line 54 - line 57; abstract; claims 1-35 --	1-10
A	US, A, 4699934 (OHKADO ET AL.) 13 October 1987, see abstract --	1-10
A	EP, A2, 0436153 (NIPPON ZEON CO., LTD.) 10 July 1991, see abstract; claims 1-24 --	1-10
A	Derwent's abstract, No. 83-354 402/15, JP 58 036 630, publ. week 8315 ((NIOC) NIPPON OIL KK) -----	1-10

**ANNEX TO THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT  
ON INTERNATIONAL PATENT APPLICATION NO.PCT/US 92/07459**

SA            64553

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report.  
The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EPO file on **02/12/92**.  
The European Patent office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US-A- 4534916	13/08/85	CA-A- GB-A-B-	1231215 2145028	12/01/88 20/03/85
US-A- 4042652	16/08/77	DE-A-B- FR-A-B- GB-A-	2414928 2265516 1498665	05/06/75 24/10/75 25/01/78
US-A- 4983332	08/01/91	AU-B- AU-D- CA-A- CN-A- EP-A- JP-A-	624523 5974890 2020196 1049628 0420403 3089314	11/06/92 21/02/91 22/02/91 06/03/91 03/04/91 15/04/91
EP-A2- 0453231	23/10/91	AU-D- CN-A- JP-A- US-A-	7500491 1058104 4227643 5080839	24/10/91 22/01/92 17/08/92 14/01/92
US-A- 4699934	13/10/87	AU-B- AU-D- CA-A- DE-A-C- FR-A-B- GB-A-B- JP-C- JP-A- US-A- CA-A- JP-C- JP-A-	530070 3974878 1149563 2839249 2402525 2006091 1400137 54043268 4347198 1136306 1475054 54043284	30/06/83 20/03/80 12/07/83 22/03/79 06/04/79 02/05/79 28/09/87 05/04/79 31/08/82 23/11/82 18/01/89 05/04/79
EP-A2- 0436153	10/07/91	JP-A-	3183514	09/08/91

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82

